

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/622,713	07/21/2003	Johannes Horres	24659-A	2953	
20529 7	590 07/20/2005		EXAMINER		
NATH & ASSOCIATES 1030 15th STREET, NW			. TORRES VELAZQ	TORRES VELAZQUEZ, NORCA LIZ	
6TH FLOOR	LLI, IVV		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		1771		

DATE MAILED: 07/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			<i>// =</i>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
·	10/622,713	HORRES, JOHANN	ES
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Norca L. Torres-Velazquez	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addi	ress
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the re earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply t. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tatute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 5 from the mailing date of this com DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	omunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	4 May 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ ⁻	This action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal matters	, prosecution as to the r	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•	•	
4) Claim(s) 3-16 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.		•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers	•		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner ·		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	- • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		R 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTC)-152 .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. & 11	19(a)-(d) or (f)	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	sign phoney and of the cite. 3 1	10(a) (a) 01 (i).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		lication No. <u>09/868,68</u> 4.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			tage
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not red	ceived.	
Attachment(c)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	lail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) ☐ Notice of Infor 6) ☐ Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-	152)
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail D	eate 071805

Application/Control Number: 10/622,713 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

2. The rejection of the claims over BRANDT et al. has been withdrawn because it fails to provide an arrangement in a wavy manner as claimed herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 4. Claims 3-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the mineral fibers" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Dependent claims 4-16 are also rejected as being indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for

Application/Control Number: 10/622,713 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

8. Claims 3-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DEBOUZIE et al. (US 4,632,685).

DEBOUZIE et al. teaches a process for the production of felts, the fibers of which are situated randomly. The felt is produced through multiple steps of longitudinal compression, which first produces an undulation of fibers, followed by the creation of a random orientation (See Abstract; and Fig. 1-3). It is disclosed that the felts are produced from fibers having a mean diameter of around 6 to 14 microns, and an average length of a few centimeters. In the disclosed process, the compression operation is preferably carried out on felts having a volumetric mass that does not exceed 60 kg/m (See Column 7 Lines 42-57).

9. Claims 3-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over BARACCHINI et al. (US 6,851,283 B2).

Application/Control Number: 10/622,713

Art Unit: 1771

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). For applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, this rejection might also be overcome by showing that the subject matter of the reference and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(1)(1) and § 706.02(1)(2).

The reference teaches thermoinsulating mats or felts of mineral fibers whose orientation is almost random. (Col. 1, lines 11-12) The reference teaches fibers with diameter of 6 to 14 micrometer and a length of several centimeters. (Col. 1, lines 51-53) The reference teaches producing an undulated structure. (Col. 2, lines 53-54) The reference further teaches that the product offers a resistance to compression, which is sufficient to make it possible to apply on it a protective coating or a layer of additional insulation. (Col. 3, lines 42-45) The mat can have a

Application/Control Number: 10/622,713

Art Unit: 1771

further surfacing, i.e., it can be coated with one or two adherent sheets of paper, aluminum, polyethylene or PVC. (Col. 4, lines 14-16)

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Norca L. Torres-Velazquez whose telephone number is 571-272-1484. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00-5:00 pm and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,713 Page 6

Art Unit: 1771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Norca L. Torres-Velazquez · Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1771

July 18, 2005